



Dean Moor Solar Farm

Environmental Statement: Appendix 5.3 – Outline Soil Management Plan

on behalf of FVS Dean Moor Limited

~~March-28~~ October 2025

Prepared by: Stantec UK Ltd

PINS Ref: EN010155

Document Ref: ~~6-3D4.14~~

Deadline: 4

Revision: ~~21~~



Firma Energy

 **ib vogt**

DEAN MOOR SOLAR FARM
ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT
APPENDIX 5.3 – OUTLINE SOIL MANAGEMENT PLAN
PLANNING INSPECTORATE REFERENCE EN010155
PREPARED ON BEHALF OF FVS DEAN MOOR LIMITED

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations
2009, Regulation 5(2)(a)

Project Ref:	EN010155/Appendix 5.3: Outline Soil Management Plan
Status	Final
Issue/ Rev:	<u>42</u>
Date:	March <u>October</u> 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Competency	2
1.3	Roles and Responsibilities	3
1.4	Assumptions and Limitations	4
1.5	Aims and Objectives	4
1.6	Soil Health and Best Practice Guidance	5
2	Soil Resource Assessment	8
2.1	Background	8
3	Soil Management Measures	12
3.1	Proposed Development Construction Phase	12
3.2	Supervision	13
3.3	Review and Update of OSMP	14
3.4	General Requirements for Soil Handling	14
3.5	Stop Conditions	16
3.6	Drainage	17
3.7	Accidental Spillages	18
3.8	Biosecurity	18
3.9	Ground Preparation	18
3.10	Temporary Access Tracks and Compounds	19
3.11	Solar Panel Installation	20
3.12	Underground Cable Installation and Other Trenches	20
3.13	Grid Connection Infrastructure	21
3.14	Soil Storage	22
3.15	Soil Restoration	22
4	Conclusion	24
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Background	2
1.3	Competency	7
1.4	Roles and Responsibilities	7
1.5	Assumptions and Limitations	8
1.6	Aims and Objectives	8
1.7	Soil Health and Best Practice Guidance	10
2	Soil Resource Assessment	12
2.1	Background	12
3	Soil Management Measures	19
3.1	Key Baseline Soil Information	19
3.2	Proposed Development Construction Phase	19
3.3	Supervision	21

3.4	Review and Update of OSMP	22
3.5	General Requirements for Soil Handling.....	22
3.6	Stop Conditions	24
3.7	Drainage	26
3.8	Accidental Spillages	26
3.9	Biosecurity	27
3.10	Ground Preparation	27
3.11	Temporary Access Tracks and Compounds	28
3.12	Solar Panel Installation	29
3.13	Underground Cable Installation and Other Trenches.....	29
3.14	Grid Connection Infrastructure	30
3.15	Soil Storage	31
3.16	Soil Restoration	31
4	Conclusion	34

Figures

Figure 1	Solar Farm Area Plan (Extract from ES Figure 3.1)	2
Figure 2	Limiting percentages of organic matter, clay and sand for peaty and organic mineral texture classes (Figure 3 from the MAFF ALC Guidelines 1988)	3
Figure 3	Topsoil Sample Locations (SMP1 to SMP15), July 20152024	34
Figure 24	Soil Handling Units.....	34

Appendices

Appendix A	Soil Resilience
Appendix B	Soil Guidance
Appendix C	Certificates of Topsoil Analysis

[Appendix A Soil Resilience](#)

[Appendix B Soil Guidance](#)

[Appendix C Certificates of Topsoil Analysis](#)

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview


~~1.1~~ **Background**

1.1.1 This Outline Soil Management Plan ('OSMP') has been prepared for FVS Dean Moor Limited (the Applicant) to support the DCO application for the Dean Moor Solar Farm (the Proposed Development) located between the villages of Gilgarran and Branthwaite in West Cumbria (the Site), which is situated within the administrative area of Cumberland Council (the Council). The Proposed Development will be within the 'Order Limits' (the land shown on the Work Plans) [REF: 2.3] within which the Proposed Development can be carried out. For the purpose of this OSMP, the terms 'Order Limits' and 'Site' are used interchangeably.

1.1.2 For ease of reference, the Site is divided primarily into four areas referred to as Areas 'A', 'B', 'C', and 'D', as shown on Figure 1 (Extract from Figure 3.1 of the ES) [REF: 6.2] and listed below:

- Area A – Land south of Branthwaite Road (approximately 40.2ha);
- Area B – Land south of Branthwaite Road and north of Gilgarran Road (approximately 19.9ha);
- Area C – Land south of Gilgarran Road and north of Dean Cross Road (approximately 203ha); and
- Area D – Land connecting Areas A and B, including Potato Pot Wind Farm (the 'Wind Farm'), Gilgarran Road between Areas A and B, and Branthwaite Edge Road (approximately 13.4ha).

Figure 1 Solar Farm Area Plan (Extract from ES Figure 3.1)



1.2 Background


1.1.21.2.1 The purpose of this OSMP and any Soil Management Plan ('SMP') is to identify and safeguard the soil resources ~~(, i.e., mineral, peaty-mineral, and organic-mineral topsoil and subsoil)~~ on land required for the part of the Proposed Development to which the SMP applies (the Site is currently in pastoral agricultural production), and to support best practice for the protection of the soil resource during the Proposed Development's construction phase.

1.2.2 As distinct from mineral soil, peaty-mineral and organic-mineral soils are defined in the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, and Food (MAFF) Agricultural Land Classification Guidelines ('ALC Guidelines') as follows:

- Peat is a soil texture class given in Figure 3 of the ALC Guidelines (i.e., more than 50% organic matter);

- Peaty refers to a soil texture group comprising peat, loamy peat, sandy peat, peaty loam, and sandy peat textures, as shown in Figure 3 of the ALC Guidelines;
- Peat soil is a soil which meets both of the following criteria:
 - (i) More than 40cm of peaty textured material within the upper 80cm of the soil profile; and
 - (ii) organic mineral or peaty textures present within the 30cm depth.
- Organic mineral soil has more than 10% organic matter, where the clay content is more than 50%, or between 6% to 10% organic matter for soil with less than 50% clay, as shown in Figure 3 of the ALC Guidelines (see Figure 2 below).

Figure 2 Limiting percentages of organic matter, clay and sand for peaty and organic mineral texture classes (Figure 3 from the MAFF ALC Guidelines)



1.2.3 It should be noted that a separate investigation of underground layers of peat, as distinct from peaty-mineral and organic-mineral soils, has been

undertaken, and the findings are produced in a separate report given in the ES Appendix 10.3 'Peat Survey Report' (PSR).

1.2.4 The PSR identified areas on-Site where underground reserves of peat are located so that the design avoids causing loss or damage to these existing peat deposit resources, and there is sufficient information to inform the need for mitigation where appropriate.

1.2.5 The Applicant's approach to the mitigation hierarchy is detailed within and secured by the Work Plans [REF: 2.3] and/or control documents such as this OSMP and/or the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (OCEMP). In general, the design has sought to avoid excavation or compaction of peat deposits through the parameters of Work No 1. Solar PV Infrastructure, and through the commitment to restricting vehicle movements and providing further controls on activities in certain areas.

1.2.6 While the SMP must reflect regard for the locations of peat deposits (as distinct from peaty soils) for potential targeted soil management measures in the vicinity of these deposits, measures related specifically to the avoidance and mitigation for peat deposits (i.e. protective barriers or Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) oversight) will be governed by the CEMP (see for example, OCEMP sections 5.5 and 11.3).

4.4.31.2.7 For the purpose of this OSMP, soil includes mineral-soil, peaty-mineral soil, and organic-mineral soil. Soil is recognised as a resource in-itself and the conservation of soils through good construction practice makes an important contribution to Site environmental management commitments across construction (including in relation to water management, ecological protections, and ground conditions management) and provides benefits for the operational phase.

4.4.41.2.8 Prior to the construction of any part of the Proposed Development, the Applicant must produce a SMP for that part of the Proposed Development, that must be substantially in accordance with this OSMP. Preparation of the SMP is secured by a DCO Requirement and will be submitted for approval by the Council. The construction of any part of the Proposed

Development must be carried out in accordance with the approved SMP for that part.

~~4.1.5~~1.2.9 Site specific measures in the OSMF are derived from the author's expertise in soil science along with fieldwork and desk-based studies as set out in the Agricultural Land Classification ('ALC') Report (ES Appendix 2.8), and the OSMF has been relied on by ES Chapter 10 Ground Conditions. This OSMF has also considered the findings and recommendation in the separate PSR given as ES Appendix 10.3. The final SMP will account for the ALC Report (including its Soil Resource Survey (SRS) data, the PSR, and any new information arising in the pre-commencement phase, while also being a "live" document that can be responsive to the discovery of new relevant information during construction. The SMP will be substantially in accordance with what is set out in this OSMF, and will be informed by updating environmental assessments, including further ground investigations as discussed in OCEMP section 11 (ES Appendix 5.1).

~~4.1.6~~1.2.10 This OSMF is informed by the ALC Report at ES Appendix 2.8 which provides information on climate, topography, geology, and soil. The ALC Report determined there is no 'Best and Most Versatile' ('BMV') agricultural land at the Site, i.e., agricultural land in ALC Grades 1, 2, and Subgrade 3a. Most of the agricultural land on the Site is Grade 4 (i.e., *poor quality*), with smaller proportions of Subgrade 3b (i.e., *moderate quality*), and Grade 5 (i.e., *very poor quality*).

1.2.11 The ES Appendix 2.8 ALC Report findings are based on SRS associated with the 1990 ALC study for Area C (see ALC Report Appendix B for the 1990 study and Appendix C for the 1990 soil profile logs) as well as a 2024 ALC study undertaken across Areas A and B (see ALC Report Appendix D soil profile logs). In the course of the 2024 ALC additional SRS were also taken for verification of Area C topsoils as per OSMF Figure 3.

1.2.12 This OSMF has utilised soil profile data collected on Site with the use of a hand-held soil auger at a density of one auger bore per hectare. This involved examining key soil physical properties such as depth of topsoil, upper subsoil, lower subsoil, stone content, soil colour, soil texture, soil structure, and soil drainage status to a maximum depth of 1.2m. The soil profile data were collected and reported by MAFF during a 1990 ALC survey covering approximately 202.6ha (or 74% of the Site) in the south (Area C), and via a detailed ALC survey of the remainder of agricultural land in the north (Areas A and B) in July 2024. The detailed soil auger logs are presented in ES Appendix 2.8 ALC Report Appendices C and D.

1.2.13 In addition to the detailed soil physical properties recorded in the ALC soil profile logs, a total of 15 additional topsoil samples (i.e., SMP1-SMP15) were collected at locations spread over the whole Site, as shown in Figure 3 'Topsoil Sample Locations (SMP1-SMP15)' in July 2024. The samples were analysed against physical and chemical parameters as follows:

- pH water (1:2.5);
- Available Phosphorus (mg/l);
- Available Potassium (mg/l);
- Available Magnesium (mg/l);
- Sand (2.00-0.063mm);
- Silt (0.063-0.002mm);
- Clay (<0.002mm);
- Organic Carbon by DUMAS;
- Organic Matter by calculation;
- Texture Class.

1.2.14 The laboratory certificates of analysis are given in Appendix C of this OSMF, and specific criteria, namely texture class and organic matter, are summarised in Section 2.0 of this OSMF.

4.1.71.2.15 Although the SMP is targeted at the construction phase, in implementing the SMP, alongside the CEMP the outcomes of this management regime will support the success of the Site's landscape and ecological mitigation, and enhancement works. This will be delivered via a

Landscape and Ecological Plan ('LEP') and a Landscape Ecological Management Plan ('LEMP') as outlined in the OLEMP (ES Appendix 7.7).

1.1.81.2.16 The best practice measures and outcomes to be implemented by the SMP during construction are also expected to be adopted for operational and maintenance '(O&M)' works as per the Outline Operational Management Plan (OOMP) (ES Appendix 3.1) and taken forward in a future decommissioning phase document suite as outlined in the Framework Decommissioning Management Plan ('FDMP') (ES Appendix 5.4).

1.21.3 Competency

1.2.11.3.1 This OSMP was prepared by Robert Askew, a Chartered Scientist ('CSci'), who is a Fellow (F.I. Soil Sci) of the British Society of Soil Science ('BSSS'). Robert meets the requirements of the BSSS Professional Competency Standard ('PCS') scheme '*Soil Science in Soil Handling and Restoration*' (see BSSS Document 4)¹. The BSSS PCS scheme is endorsed, amongst others, by the Department for Food and Rural Affairs ('Defra'), Natural England, the Science Council, and IEMA.

1.2.21.3.2 As an Expert Witness in agriculture and land use, he has given evidence at numerous public inquiries, including Town and Country Planning Act, local plan inquiries, 1925 Allotment Act inquiries, and Section 78 appeals. He has recently been Topic Lead for Agriculture, Forestry and Soil for HS2 Phase 2B (Crewe to Manchester), and recently managed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) and Soil Resource Surveys as part of National Highways Lower Thames Crossing highway scheme. Robert has also given evidence on soil and ALC at the All Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on Agroecology at the Palace of Westminster.

1.31.4 Roles and Responsibilities

1.3.11.4.1 Specific job titles, roles, and responsibilities applicable to the OSMP are aligned with those set out in the OCEMP at Section 2, with full details to

¹ British Society of Soil Science. Professional Competency Standard Scheme Document 4 '*Soil Science in Soil Handling and Restoration*'.

be provided in the CEMP. Relevant roles and responsibilities in relation to soil management will be set out in the SMP.

1.3.21.4.2 While the Applicant, as Site owner/operator, will be ultimately responsible for compliance of the construction management plans, the key function for the SMP will be that of the Principal Contractor (PC). For the purposes of this OSMP, the PC is defined as per the Construction, Design and Management (CDM) Regulations² as *‘the contractor with control over the construction phase of a project involving more than one contractor’*.

1.3.31.4.3 The PC will be supported by a range of technical experts such as an ~~Ecological Clerk of Works (‘ECoW’)~~ ECoW who will have a more regular presence on-Site. This will be complemented by monitoring oversight and advice by a qualified soils expert who will act as the project Soil Advisor. Details of the Soil Advisor, their personal details including qualifications, and their scope of work will be included in the SMP and will be a function to support the successful implementation of the SMP.

1.41.5 **Assumptions and Limitations**

1.4.11.5.1 The OSMP sets out (i) the best practice, and (ii) a framework of practical mitigation measures that the Principal Contractor will implement during construction safeguard soil resources on-Site. The PC will be required to monitor the works to ensure they comply with the SMP.

1.51.6 **Aims and Objectives**

1.5.11.6.1 The OSMP aims to maintain the quality and quantity of soil resources (i.e., topsoil and subsoil) at the Site in its current physical condition (e.g., soil depth, soil texture, soil structure, soil drainage status), chemical condition (e.g., pH level, nutrient status of available phosphorus, available potassium, available magnesium, and soil organic matter (‘SOM’) content, to maintain soil health (see section 1.6) primarily during the construction of the Proposed Development.

² Health and Safety Executive (2015). The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015.

4.5.21.6.2 This subsequently enables the LEMP's operational management measures to improve soil quality and for the preservation of soil resources to support the LEMP in delivering biodiversity net gain ('BNG').

4.5.31.6.3 The SMP will include at least the following details to support the Proposed Development's soil management objectives of maintaining the quality and quantity of the soil resource in as much as possible:

- The location, extent, and quality of in-situ soil resources (topsoil and subsoil) at the Site before construction (i.e., baseline soil status);
- Outcomes of assessments of types (units) of soil according to their resilience to structural damage (e.g., compaction) during soil handling based on final design and further surveys;
- A map showing the location and extent of soil resources in separate soil handling units in accordance with Figure 23 Soil Handling Units;
- Maps showing the location and content of stockpiles, e.g., topsoil or subsoil;
- Measures to ensure vehicular traffic over the land is restricted to internal access tracks or only across land without tracks in appropriate weather conditions and soil-wetness state during the construction phase;
- Final details relating to soil handling including, where necessary, to strip, store, and respread soil resources in appropriate weather conditions and soil-wetness state during construction;
- An expectation for the use of low ground pressure (LGP) models and tracked vehicles where possible; and
- Identification of a person responsible for supervising soil management and detail their function and supervisory, monitoring, and measurement mechanisms as a technical expert supporting the PC and Site Manager.

4.5.41.6.4 Overall, the aim of this OSMP is to achieve, as far as possible:

- The avoidance of unnecessary damage to all soil layers, especially by compaction and smearing;
- The maintenance of a reasonable degree of fissuring, drainage, and aerobic conditions in stored soils;
- The reasonable replication of the original sequence of textural horizons and permeability of the soil profile when the materials are reinstated, based on a target restoration profile (i.e., the original/baseline soil profile determined for the SMP before commencement of construction); and
- The preservation of soil biodiversity and SOM.

1.61.7 Soil Health and Best Practice Guidance

1.6.11.7.1 The Government's aims and objectives for safeguarding and, where possible, improving soil health are described in the ALC Report at ES Appendix 2.8.

1.6.21.7.2 The assessment of agricultural land and soil and has drawn on best practice guidance set out in section 2.5 of the [ES Appendix 2.8](#) ALC Report.

Soil receptor sensitivity / resilience

1.6.31.7.3 When considering soil ([i.e., mineral-soil, peaty-soil, and organic soil as per Figure 2 above](#)) as a growing medium for food and biomass production and a habitat that supports microbial, plant, and animal life, its sensitivity to change is mainly dependent on its resilience to structural damage during cultivation and soil handling (i.e., soil stripping, storing in stockpiles, and re-spreading).

1.6.41.7.4 As detailed in guidelines for soil handling, including the '*Code of Practice for Sustainable Management of Soil on Construction Sites*'³, the key to understanding soil resilience to structural damage during soil handling is the interaction between soil texture and soil moisture, as well as the effect of this interaction on soil structure, as described in Appendix A.

1.6.51.7.5 For the SMP the methodology for assessing soil wetness will be used to place the different soil types at the Site into one of three soil handling units which have different resilience (i.e., high resilience, medium resilience, and low resilience) to structural damage according to their respective soil cohesion and soil strength and resistance to compression and smear at different soil moisture contents. These three categories of resilience should be related to the prevailing climate, namely Field Capacity Days, as set out in Table 1.1.

³ HM Government (2011, last updated in 2018). Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs '*Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites*'.

Table 1.1: Soil handling units

Soil Handling Unit / Sensitivity	Resilience to structural damage during soil handling	Soil Texture Class
Unit 1 (Green) – Low Sensitivity	High	Light textured soils: sand (S), loamy sands (LS), sandy loam (SL), sandy silt loams (SZL); where fewer than 225 Field Capacity Days (FCD) (Average Annual Rainfall (AAR) less than 1000mm).
Unit 2 (Orange) – Medium Sensitivity	Moderate	Above textures where there are 225 FCD or more (AAR 1000mm or greater). Medium textured soils with less than 27% clay content: silt loam (ZL), medium silty clay loam (MZCL), medium clay loam (MCL), sandy clay loam (SCL); where there are 225 FCD or fewer (AAR 1000mm or less). Heavy textures below (i.e., more than 27% clay content) where fewer than 150 FCD (AAR less than 700mm).
Unit 3 (Red) – High Sensitivity	Low	Medium textures above where there are more than 225 FCD (AAR greater than 1000mm). Heavy textures soils with more than 27% clay content: heavy silty clay loams (HZCL), heavy clay loam (HCL), sandy clay (SC) silty clay (ZC) clay (C); where FCD are 150 or more (AAR 700mm or greater). Organic and peaty soils.

2 Soil Resource Assessment

2.1 Background

2.1.1 This section of the OSMP describes key Site characteristics that affect soil management at the Site as follows:

- Climate (e.g., the opportunity for soil handling in suitably dry conditions); and
- Information on soil texture/resilience.

2.1.2 This section uses relevant climate and soil texture information from the ALC Report at ES Appendix 2.8, as summarised below.

Climate

2.1.3 Interpolated climate data relevant to the determination of the ALC grade of land at the Site is given in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: ALC climate data for the Site

Climate Parameter	Northeast BGR* NY044242	Central BGR NY046229	Southwest BGR NY412223
Average Altitude (m)	117	144	197
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	1390	1459	1519
Accumulated Temperature above 0°C (January – June)	1273	1243	1175
Field Capacity Days (FCD)	294	303	287
Moisture Deficit (mm) Wheat	51	42	40
Moisture Deficit (mm) Potatoes	27	16	33
Grade According to Climate	3b	4	4

*British Grid Reference

2.1.4 Of crucial relevance to soil management, the Site receives a high Average Annual Rainfall (AAR) of 1390-1519mm compared with the AAR for central lowland England, which is between 625mm and 700mm⁴.

⁴ J.M. Ragg et al (1984). Page 20 in 'Soils and their use in Midland and Western England', Soil Survey of England and Wales Bulletin No.12, Harpenden

- 2.1.5 The soil is predicted to be at field capacity (i.e., the amount of soil moisture or water content held in the soil after excess water has drained away) for between 287-303 Field Capacity Days (FCD) per year. These values are high compared to the average FCD for central lowland England, which is between 125-175 FCD.

Detailed ALC and Soil Resource Survey (SRS)

- 2.1.6 A detailed ALC and ~~Soil Resource Survey (SRS)~~ was carried out at the Site in July 2024 (see soil profile logs given in Appendices C and D in the ALC Report, given in ES Appendix D)2.8 [6.3]). The ALC/SRS survey involved the examination of the soil's physical properties by MAFF at 205 auger bores in the centre and south of the Site (Area C), and by Askew Land & Soil at 50 auger-bore locations in the north of the Site (Areas B, C, and D) (see Figure 2 of ALC Report) All the auger bores were located on an approximate 100m grid pattern at a sampling density of approximately 1 auger bore per ha of agricultural land. A log of the soil profiles recorded on the Site (~~see Figure 2 of ALC Report~~) and a soil pit ~~description~~descriptions are given in Appendices C and D of the ALC Report, ES Appendix D2.8.

Soil texture

- 2.1.7 The texture of the topsoil was determined on Site by hand-texturing, as described in Natural England's Technical Information Note 037 '*Soil Texture*'⁵, and by laboratory particle size analysis (see below).
- 2.1.8 To validate soil texture recorded on-Site, a topsoil sample was collected at 15 locations (i.e., SMP – SMP15), as shown in Figure ~~13~~ of this OSMP. Based on the BSI particle size grades, the topsoil samples were sent to an accredited laboratory for particle size (texture) analysis- and organic matter content using the DUMAS method⁶. A certificate of analysis is given

⁵ Natural England (2008). Natural England's Technical Information Note 037 '*Soil Texture*'.

⁶ Analysing soil carbon by the DUMAS method involves heating the soil in oxygen rich air until it starts to burn and the amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) produced is measured. Further details are available online at <https://cawood.co.uk/blog/soil-organic-matter-3-analysis-options/> Last accessed October 2025

in Appendix B to this OSMP. The findings of the topsoil texture analysis are shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: Topsoil texture (re Table 10, ALC guidelines)

Topsoil Sample Location (See Fig. 1)	% sand 0.063-2.0 mm	% silt 0.002-0.063 mm	% clay <0.002 mm	ALC Soil Texture Class
SMP1	42	31	27	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam
SMP2	41	31	28	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam
SMP3	38	31	31	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam
SMP4	26	35	39	<u>Organic</u> Clay
SMP5	44	28	28	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam
SMP6	25	34	41	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam
SMP7	26	36	38	<u>Organic</u> Clay
SMP8	43	29	28	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam
SMP9	37	33	30	Heavy Clay Loam
SMP10	37	34	29	Heavy Clay Loam
SMP11	36	36	28	Heavy Clay Loam
SMP12	41	32	27	Heavy Clay Loam
SMP13	42	33	25	Medium Clay Loam
SMP14	59	21	20	<u>Organic</u> Sandy Clay Loam
SMP15	34	32	34	Heavy Clay Loam

2.1.9 The detailed ALC/SRS determined dark greyish brown (Munsell colour 10YR4/2), stoneless, predominantly heavy clay loam and clay topsoil over clay subsoil. The soil profiles are slowly permeable and seasonally waterlogged for long periods over the winter (i.e., Wetness Class IV).

2.1.10 As shown in Figure 3, the topsoil samples SMP1-SMP8 to the south of the road between Gilgarran and Branthwaite Edge (i.e., Area C) are located on agricultural land that has not been excavated and/or restored as part of former colliery works (see ES Appendix 10.1 Phase 1 Ground Conditions Assessment (GCA) Parts 1 and 2 [6.3], and ES Appendix 10.3 Coal Mining Hazard Assessment (CMHA) for further details on coal mining in the area [6.3]). The majority of the agricultural land in this part of the Site has been under permanent pasture grazed by sheep for decades, and this, in combination with the wet climate, has resulted in an accumulation of organic matter in the soil. The agricultural topsoils in Area C, i.e., SM1-

SM8 are determined to be organic heavy clay loams and organic clays following laboratory analysis and the criteria for organic matter, clay and sand in Figure 2 (above). Note that topsoil samples SM9 and SM10 in the southeast corner of Area C have organic matter contents of 7.2% and 6.0% respectively, and are not 'organic' following the same criteria for organic matter, clay, and sand in Figure 2 (above).

2.1.11 The land to the north of the road between Gilgarran and Branthwaite Edge (i.e., Areas A, B, and D) has been excavated and restored as part of former colliery works (see ES Appendix 10.1 Phase 1 GCA Parts 1 and 2 [6.3], and ES Appendix 10.3 CMHA for further details on coal mining in the area [6.3]). These soils are not 'organic' following the criteria for organic matter, clay and sand in Figure 2 (above), apart from one sample, SMP14, which is an organic sandy clay loam.

Soil handling units

2.1.102.1.12 By applying the criteria for climate-and, soil texture-criteria set out, and whether or not the soil is peaty or organic, in Table 1.1 'Soil Handling Units', the topsoil at the Site may be assigned to Soil Handling Units, i.e., high, medium, or low resilience to structural damage during soil handling, as shown in Table 2.3 and Figure 24.

Table 2.3: Soil handling units at the Site

Topsoil Sample Location (see Figure 3)	Topsoil Texture	Resilience to Soil Handling Unit
1SMP1	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
2SMP2	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
3SMP3	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
4SMP4	<u>Organic</u> Clay (C)	Unit 3 Low
5SMP5	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
6SMP6	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
7SMP7	<u>Organic</u> Clay	Unit 3 Low
8SMP8	<u>Organic</u> Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
9SMP9	Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
10SMP10	Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
11SMP11	Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
12SMP12	Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low
13SMP13	Medium Clay Loam (MCL)	Unit 3 Low
14SMP14	<u>Organic</u> Sandy Clay Loam (SCL)	Unit 3 Low
15SMP15	Heavy Clay Loam (HCL)	Unit 3 Low

2.1.13 For the purpose of soil handling operations, including the movement of vehicles over the land, all the topsoil at the Site, including mineral-soil, and organic-mineral soil (i.e., no peaty-mineral soil following the criteria in Figure 2 has been determined on the Site), is determined to be of low resilience to structural damage during soil handling. This follows the criteria in Table 1.1.

2.1.14 The topsoil in Area C generally has a low resilience to structural damage during soil handling following the criteria in Table 1.1. This is due to a combination of high clay content, high average annual rainfall, high number of field capacity days (FCD), and the fact that the topsoil is predominantly organic-mineral soil.

2.1.15 The topsoil in Areas A, B, and D also has a low resilience to structural damage during soil handling following the criteria in Table 1.1. Whilst most of the topsoil is not 'organic', it is deemed to be of low resilience to structural damage due to a combination of high clay content, high average annual rainfall, and high number of field capacity days (FCD).

2.1.16 A Soil Handling Unit plan is given as Figure 4 of this OSMP.

~~2.1.14~~2.1.17 Although not relevant to the SMP's construction management, it is recommended that when SMP measures are considered in relation to a DMP suite, that when Site is cleared and restored to agriculture, an appropriate number of topsoil samples (e.g., 15) should be collected and tested at a laboratory against BS3882:2015 to determine any deficiencies in nutrient status that may affect landscape restoration measures and agricultural use options.

3 Soil Management Measures

3.1 Key Baseline Soil Information

3.1.1 Important baseline soil information for soil management purposes is summarised in Section 2.0 of this OSMP. For the purpose of the OSMP, and subsequently the SMP the definition of soil includes mineral-soil and organic-soil following the criteria in Figure 2 (section 1 of this OSMP); note there are no peaty soils on Site according to the criteria in Figure 2.

Soil Handling Units

3.1.2 For the purpose of the OSMP and SMP, all the soil on this Site is considered to be in a single unit (i.e., Unit 3) which has a low resilience to structural damage when it is handled or driven over when it is too wet, i.e., the soil's plastic limit is exceeded; see section 1.6 and Appendices A and B of this OSMP for guidance on determining the soil moisture state on Site. A soil handling unit plan is given in Figure 4 of this OSMP.

Organic Soils

3.1.3 The agricultural topsoil in Area C, namely SM1-SM8, are determined to be organic heavy clay loams and organic clays following laboratory analysis and the criteria for organic matter, clay and sand in OSMP Figure 2. Note that topsoil samples SM9 and SM10 in the southeastern corner of Area C are not 'organic'.

Mineral Soils

3.1.4 The land to the north (Areas A, B, and D) has been excavated and restored as part of former colliery works. These soils are not 'organic' following the criteria for organic matter, clay and sand in OSMP Figure 2, apart from one sample, SMP14, which is an organic sandy clay loam.

~~3.13.2~~ Proposed Development Construction Phase

~~3.1.13.2.1~~ Most of the Proposed Development's land use (as represented by Work No. 1) involves solar PV arrays over pasture (grassland) (as shown on the Parameter Plan (ES Figure 3.4)). The existing grass sward (i.e., no bare

ground) will be maintained over the Work No. 1 area before trafficking over by construction plant and delivery vehicles, with supplementary pre-seeding to occur in areas of bare earth depending on conditions as assessed in pre-commencement. If required, such measures would be set out in the CEMP.

3.1.23.2.2 The Solar PV mounting framework is typically secured via pile-driven posts into the ground with no requirement to excavate, move, or seal the soil below the solar PV arrays. Further information is available from ES Chapter 3 – Site and Proposed Development Description and the Design Parameters Document ('DPD') [REF: 5.7].

3.1.33.2.3 Cabling for the Proposed Development will be laid in trenches up to 2m in depth and up to 2.5m wide (typically much less than this, with these values representing maximum design parameters). Cable trenches will be backfilled with topsoil and subsoil in their original sequence (i.e., topsoil at the ~~top~~stop) and depths (i.e., thickness of soil layers) with further controls on excavation methods and excavation materials handling outlined in the OCEMP (ES Appendix 5.1). A perimeter fence will also form part of the Proposed Development which will be 'deer fencing' secured by wooden posts driven into the ground with no expectation of a need for excavation for fence implementation.

3.1.43.2.4 The main elements of the Proposed Development that will require the stripping and storage of topsoil within the Site include the following:

- Implementation of temporary construction compounds to be located only within areas as defined by Work No. 4 and formed of permeable aggregate); construction of temporary or permanent internal access tracks (to be located across the Site where required but with the primary network making use of an existing established track network that will be upgraded as opposed to new routes (to be formed of permeable aggregate);
- Implementation of a PCS Unit (inverters/transformers) and other ancillary containers and the provision of any targeted SuDS (e.g., aggregate subbase or filter trench) for these discrete elements dispersed across Work No. 1 and 3; and

- Implementation of Grid Connection Infrastructure (Work No. 2) to include a compound housing external electrical equipment that will be up to 1.2ha in size and have gravel/aggregate ground coverage along with DNO and Customer Substation buildings which will require ground clearance for their foundations and any associated SuDS measures.

3.1.53.2.5 The SMP will provide further detailed specifications on the locations of clearance requirements and their nature (permanent or temporary). Matters relating to the management of excavated soils that are not to be retained on-Site and therefore handled as waste will be dealt with in the CEMP under its waste management header. Soils to be retained on-Site will be governed in accordance with this OSMP and any additional requirements arising from the CEMP.

3.23.3 Supervision

3.2.13.3.1 Throughout the construction phase, a regular routine of inspections will be provided by a suitably experienced Soil Adviser alongside any programme/works specific supervision requirements.

3.2.23.3.2 As a minimum, inspections will check for compliance with the SMP such as the depth of material stripped for areas of track, confirmation of soil handling and trafficking over land being stopped when soil has wetted to a plastic consistence, and condition of soil material in storage bunds.

3.2.33.3.3 The use of a third-party soils expert to inform requirements, monitor, and verify compliance will be implemented for the construction phase. This function will also be taken forward through the operational and decommissioning phases where appropriate. An example would be looking for areas of subsoil compaction that have developed where O&M vehicles have been used off the access track routes, specifying appropriate subsoil cultivation, and assessing the effectiveness of that cultivation. Provision for soils expertise as a management mechanism during the operational phase will be covered by the **OMP and** LEMP and must form a part of the DMP suite as set out in the FDMP (ES Appendix 5.4).

~~3.2.43.3.4~~ The SMP for the construction phase will detail supervision and monitoring mechanisms by the Soil Advisor and will make provision for any additional monitoring that may be required by relevant stakeholders (e.g., the Mining Remediation Authority ('MRA') ~~or~~, the Council's Environmental Health Officer (EHO) ~~)), or~~ Natural England (NE) who will be consulted on the final SMP before its submission to discharge DCO Requirement 10, with that engagement to inform the content of the final SMP.

3.33.4 Review and Update of OSMP

~~3.3.13.4.1~~ While the SMP and CEMP will provide for comprehensive environmental management, both will be 'live' documents that can be updated by the Applicant and provided to the Council in response to on-the-ground conditions where required. This is to account for possible:

- Changes in environmental receptors, or sensitivity of environmental receptors as a consequence of changes in the environment;
- Changes in personnel responsible for supervising soil management on Site; ~~and~~
- Changes to the construction design, method, or programme of the Proposed Development; and
- New information 'discovered' in the course of construction works that require targeted measures that would have been in the SMP if known in advance of the commencement of the construction phase., with the final SMP to set out further detail for the benefit of the PC on the types of indicators that could trigger a need for the involvement of the Soil Advisor for review and potential updates in-construction.

~~3.3.23.4.2~~ Any SMP submitted approved will be a 'live' document and will be updated as required. Existing management measures and mitigation outcomes will not be amended without the prior agreement of the Council- following engagement with relevant stakeholders as appropriate.

3.43.5 General Requirements for Soil Handling

~~3.4.13.5.1~~ This section outlines general requirements for vehicular traffic over agricultural land and soil handling, i.e., soil stripping, storage, and placement/re-spreading during the construction phase of the Proposed Development. It supplements requirements for groundwork and soil in the

OCEMP (Appendix 5.1) and should be read in conjunction with that document.

~~3.4.23.5.2~~ Best practice for solar farm design, layout, and construction set out in the BRE National Solar Centre's (2014) '*Agricultural Good Practice Guidance for Solar Farms*' will be followed.

~~3.4.33.5.3~~ The quality and quantity of soil resources (topsoil and subsoil) within the Site will be maintained by following the approach of the DEFRA '*Code of Practice for the Sustainable Management and Use of Soil on Construction Sites*'.

~~3.4.43.5.4~~ All soil and soil forming materials shall be handled following the BSSS on '*Benefitting from Soil Management in Development and Construction*'⁷ and the Institute of Quarrying's Good Practice Guide for Handling Soil⁸, Sheets A – E (handling soil using backacters (tractor + backhoe portmanteau) and dump trucks).

~~3.4.53.5.5~~ Where relevant, handling ~~peaty~~/organic soils should aim to maintain peatland ecosystem services (such as carbon sequestration), minimise risks to ecosystem services (such as the loss of habitat, water quality, storage or ground stability), and retain excavated peat in storage as close to the point of extraction as practicable in the unlikely event that peat deposits other than those identified in the PSR are uncovered on the Site which cannot be excluded to avoid the need for extraction, which would be prioritised. No currently identified peat deposits as identified in the PSR will be extracted, and the discovery of additional deposits would trigger a requirement to stop works in the relevant area until there has been an investigation and review by the Soil Advisor which may necessitate updates to the CEMP and/or SMP following engagement with relevant stakeholders as per OSMP section 3.4.

⁷ British Society of Soil Science (2022). Working with Soil Guidance Note on Benefitting from Soil Management in Development and Construction

⁸ Institute of Quarrying. Good Practice Guide for Handling Soils in Mineral Workings, 2021.

3.4.63.5.6 By following the best practice guidance above, the SMP may include the following non-exhaustive list of mitigation measures:

- Soil will be moved directly from donor to receptor areas to avoid triple handling and/or storage;
- Soil handling will not be carried out when the soil moisture content is above the plastic limit (see section 1.76 and [Appendix Appendices A and B](#) of this OSMP);
- Soils will only be moved under the driest practicable conditions and suitable weather conditions;
- Topsoil will not be mixed with subsoil or other materials;
- Soil will be stored in designated soil storage areas;
- Plant and machinery will only work when ground or soil surface conditions enable their maximum operating efficiency;
- No vehicles or plant will drive outside designated areas or on reinstated soil;
- No materials will be stored outside designated areas or on reinstated soil;
- All plant and machinery must always be maintained in a safe and efficient working condition; and
- Daily records of operations undertaken, and Site weather and soil conditions should be maintained.

3.53.6 Stop Conditions

3.5.13.6.1 As described in section 1.76 and [Appendix Appendices A and B](#), when soil is handled when it is too wet (i.e., the moisture content is at or exceeds the lower plastic limit), soil strength is reduced, and it becomes prone to structural damage, (i.e., less resistance to compression and shear). The wet (or plastic) soil can lose its structure and become compacted by introducing a force, such as a mechanical excavator. As described in best practice produced by the Institute of Quarrying (IoQ) (see 'Supplementary Note 4 – Soil Wetness' given as Appendix B):

'...The degree of effect due to soil handling is likely to vary between the soil textural class, structural condition, and organic matter content, the local climate and daily weather conditions, but also between the types and size of machinery used and handling practice adopted. The primary cause of compaction arises from the compression caused by trafficking by the machinery and stockpiling of soil in storage. Whilst some degree of remedial actions might be possible, experience has demonstrated that minimising compaction by handling soil in a dry condition is the more effective and reliable, and likely most cost-effective option.'

3.5.23.6.2 Advice is given in Appendix B on the general timing of activities. A field/area based determination of when the actual activities should start, cease or restart based upon actual soil wetness is provided. The SMP will carefully consider the timing of:

- Vehicles trafficking over the land and soil; and
- Land-work and soil handling operations.

3.5.33.6.3 The SMP will be substantially in accordance with this OSMP's recommendations for soil handling. The SMP will provide mitigation measures to avoid or reduce damage to soil structure, especially when the soil is wet. It will include a method statement for determining when land-work and soil handling operations should start, cease, and restart based upon actual soil wetness.

3.5.43.6.4 An '*Indicative on-average months when vegetated mineral soils might be in a sufficiently dry condition according to geographic location, depth of soil and clay content*' is given in Appendix B. The Site is in Climate Zone 1 (taken from the (IoQ) Supplementary Note on Soil Wetness).

3.5.53.6.5 In general, soil handling should be minimised during October to March unless it is in a suitable dry and friable condition. The guidance above and provided in Appendix A for ceasing and restarting work involving soil handling should be reflected in the SMP.

3.5.63.6.6 Regarding adverse weather conditions:

- Soil handling operations can continue in drizzle or light, intermittent rain for up to four hours or until the soil moisture exceeds the plastic limit (see Appendix B for field test to assess the soil's plastic limit);
- Soil handling operations must stop immediately if there is heavy rain (e.g. heavy showers, slow moving depressions);
- Soil handling should be suspended and not restarted until the ground has had at least a full day to dry, or the soil moisture is below the plastic limit, if there is sustained heavy rainfall of more than 10mm in 24 hours; and
- Soil will not be handled or trafficked over/driven on immediately after heavy rainfall (or snow/hail) in a waterlogged condition, or when there are standing pools of water on the soil surface.

3.6.7 In preparing the SMP based on the final construction programme the Applicant will want to build-in flexibility to accommodate mitigation for ground conditions and soil wetness, allowing sufficient time for the cessation or slowing of certain Site activities as necessary for soil resource conservation and SMP compliance.

3.6.8 As per section 3.5, stop conditions will also be put into place in the event that during intrusive construction works ground conditions / observations indicate the presence of potential peat deposits or soil types not indicated by the SRS or additional pre-commencement data. In the event of such a discovery, the intrusive works would cease until such time as there has been an appropriate investigation by the Soil Advisor and additional mitigation measures incorporated into the 'live' SMP and/or CEMP as appropriate. Workers will be provided with information on indicators to look out for during the pre-commencement toolbox talks and through additional detail to be provided in the final SMP.

3.6.3.7 Drainage

3.6.13.7.1 The SMP will align with hydrological management requirements set out in the CEMP. Accordingly, throughout the period of construction activity, the PC shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that drainage from areas within and adjoining the Site is not impaired or rendered less efficient by construction activities.

3.6.23.7.2 The PC will take all reasonable steps, including the provision of any necessary works, to prevent damage by erosion, silting or flooding and to make proper provision for the disposal of all water entering, arising on or leaving the Site during the permitted operations.

3.7.3.8 Accidental Spillages

3.7.13.8.1 The SMP will align with guidance for accidental spillages set out in the CEMP. Accordingly, any oil, fuel, lubricant, paint or solvent within the Site shall be stored so as to prevent such material from contaminating topsoil, subsoil, soil forming material, or reaching any watercourse.

3.83.9 Biosecurity

3.8.13.9.1 The SMP will align with the Biosecurity Management Plan as set out in the CEMP. Accordingly, throughout the period of working, and restoration, the PC shall have regard to the need to adhere to the precautions for preventing the spread of Invasive Non-Native Species published by the Government online⁹.

3.93.10 Ground Preparation

3.9.13.10.1 All undisturbed areas where no construction activities or vehicles are allowed, will be clearly marked out and signposted.

3.9.23.10.2 Underground utilities or services crossing the area of soil stripping will be surveyed, and their depth and position will be clearly marked to ensure soil stripping works do not impact them. The utility or service location may require cordoning/fencing off.

3.9.33.10.3 Any trees, hedgerows, or valuable habitats that are to be retained will be marked out with barrier tape and subsequently protected and managed in accordance with the CEMP.

3.9.43.10.4 Prior to stripping agricultural topsoil (e.g., access roads, ancillary buildings, cable trenches, and the [Work No. 2](#) Grid Connection Infrastructure), all above-ground vegetation will be cleared off the part of the Site to be stripped, so that the amount of vegetation within the topsoil strip is minimised. This is to minimise the amount of anaerobic decomposition of vegetation / organic matter that will occur within the topsoil stockpiles.

3.10.5 Where vegetation removal is required, this should be undertaken only immediately in advance of the works for which removal is required. Vegetated ground cover is important for preventing soil erosion and other surface water runoff effects (see OCEMP section 12.3) and is important for evapotranspiration and keeping the soil drier, which will be important

⁹ HM Government (2014, last updated 2022). Natural England, DEFRA, and Environment Agency Guidance How to stop invasive non-native plants from spreading.

on this Site which is subject to high rainfall and high Field Capacity Days. Where vegetation is removed to accommodate temporary works, the areas should be re-seeded with an appropriate grass seed mix as soon as possible thereafter without waiting for the implantation of the LEMP planting measures.

3.103.11 Temporary Access Tracks and Compounds

3.10.13.11.1 Heavy goods vehicles ('HGV') delivering construction materials, will be restricted to public highways, internal access tracks, and dedicated construction compounds. Compounds for the delivery of materials will be located near accesses to public highways within the areas for temporary construction compounds provided by Work No. 4.

3.10.23.11.2 For the construction of temporary access tracks and compounds, the topsoil (i.e., 20cm layer) will be removed by LGP or tracked machinery and stored in a low bund alongside the track/compound. A geotextile membrane will then be laid down to prevent stones mixing with the soil. Onto this is placed a mix of as-dug stone-topped, if needed, with the smaller stone placed and rolled level. At the end of the construction phase, the stone will be dug up and removed, the membrane removed, the area is loosened by a subsoiler or plough, and the topsoil spread back over before being harrowed with standard agricultural machinery. It will then be reseeded.

3.10.33.11.3 Construction machinery such as piling machines and telehandlers will not traffic over agricultural land which is left in-situ (i.e., where the topsoil has not been stripped) when the soil is too wet. This is to avoid causing soil structural damage by compaction and smearing, and to avoid creating ruts/vehicle wheelings at the ground surface (see '*General Requirements for Soil Handling*' above for guidance on appropriate soil moisture content for soil handling).

3.10.43.11.4 The use of temporary access road systems for installing the generating station equipment to minimise structural damage to the soil is recommended where ground conditions dictate. This could involve a

heavy-duty composite plastic trackway system on a thin layer of stone, or no stone, e.g., GroundGuards Xtreme Mats 4mx2m Large Mats¹⁰, or SignaRoad 3mX2m Large Mats¹¹, or other similar geotextile material.

3.40.53.11.5 Where a peaty/organic layer is present, construction machinery, e.g., piling machines and telehandlers, will not traffic directly over such land in any weather. Such areas must be demarcated with appropriate barriers put in place to prevent vehicle tracking. In the unlikely event that the use of such land is deemed necessary, the SMP will govern any such use and would stipulate the specifications of a temporary haul road system to prevent structural damage, shrinkage, or erosion of the peat/SOM. No routing of this nature would be supported by the SMP without the Soil Advisor being satisfied that the objectives of peat/SOM safeguarding could be achieved.

3.113.12 Solar Panel Installation

3.11.13.12.1 No additional mitigation measures are required that are applicable to the implementation of solar PV infrastructure, that are not sufficiently covered off by the general governance measures of the OSMP (see Section 3.4). Any further measures that are identified will be set out in this section of the SMP.

3.123.13 Underground Cable Installation and Other Trenches

3.12.13.13.1 Cabling will be connected once the solar PV arrays are installed. This is done mostly with either a mini-digger or a trenching machine. Trenches will mostly be at depths of 0.8m where soil depth permits, although CCTV trenching around the periphery is likely to be shallower, i.e., 50cm depth.

3.12.23.13.2 Low ground pressure ('LGP') or tracked mechanical diggers will be used to minimise soil compaction (see also appropriate trenching machinery in BRE National Solar Centre '*Agricultural Good Practice Guidance for Solar Farms*' (2013)).

¹⁰ GroundGuards Xtreme Mats 4mx2m Large Mats.

¹¹ SignaRoad 3mX2m Large Mats.

~~3.12.33.13.3~~ Topsoil will be stripped and stored next to one side of the trench.

Subsoil will then be stripped to the required depth and stored on the opposite side of the trench to the topsoil.

~~3.12.43.13.4~~ Topsoil and subsoil that is excavated for cable trenches within the Site will be restored to their original position, i.e., topsoil at the top, and thickness as soon as the cable is laid. This avoids the need to store soil in storage bunds for a long time.

~~3.12.53.13.5~~ The restored trenches are narrow and grass in the restored topsoil will recover rapidly. Where necessary, additional grass seed will be spread on restored ground that remains bare after a few weeks.

~~3.12.63.13.6~~ While these procedures set out the methodology that will apply to cable trenching, they will be applied to any other works involving excavations for the implementation of ancillary structures or SuDS infrastructure.

~~3.133.14~~ Grid Connection Infrastructure

~~3.13.13.14.1~~ Regarding the infrastructure within Work No. 2 (Grid Connection Infrastructure), the following measures are likely to be taken forward into the SMP with further details to be provided following the detailed design.

- The topsoil (expected to be a 20cm layer but potentially more) will be stripped in a soil bund (see 'Soil Storage' below) adjacent to any concrete pad and/or secondary security fence around the fixed external electrical equipment.
- A stone base will then be added, and formwork (shuttering) will be put around before concrete is poured to create the pad to the desired thickness. The fixed equipment will then be located on the concrete pad once it has set.
- Security fencing around the fixed equipment may be added once the cabling and connections are complete.
- For restoration the stone and matting will be removed where it is not forming a permanent feature of the external electrical equipment area. For areas that will be returned to grassland in this Work No. 2, the Soil Adviser will return to review the area once the matting is removed. The Soil Adviser will advise whether there needs to be any loosening of the area before the topsoil is replaced over the top. The area will then be harrowed with standard agricultural spring-tine harrows or a power

harrow, to loosen the topsoil and level the area. The area can then be sown to grass.

- Areas where long-term fixed equipment is to be placed, typically on a concrete pad, need to be built with future restoration in mind. The key consideration is to strip the topsoil and set it to one side in a suitable bund so that it remains in an aerobic condition for spreading when the area is restored in the future.

3.143.15 Soil Storage

3.14.13.15.1 Before any part of the Site is excavated or is built upon, or used for the stacking of topsoil, subsoil or overburden, or as a machinery dump or plant yard, or for the construction of a road, all available topsoil and subsoil will be stripped from that part.

3.14.23.15.2 Bunds for the storage of soils shall conform to the following criteria.

- Topsoil and subsoil (referred to as overburden) in the different soil handling units will be stored separately.
- Where continuous bunds are used, dissimilar soils will be separated by a third material.

Soil with high sensitivity / low resilience

- Topsoil and subsoil with high sensitivity/low resilience to soil handling will be stored in bunds that do not exceed 3m height.
- Materials will be stored like upon like, so topsoil shall be stripped from beneath subsoil bunds.
- All storage bunds containing soils intended to remain in situ for more than 6 months or over the winter period will be grassed over and weed control, and other necessary maintenance will be carried out. The seed mixture and the application rates will be set out in the SMP.
- All topsoil and subsoil shall be retained on the Site.
- The SMP will identify the locations and footprint of each stockpile on a plan of appropriate scale.
- Regular inspections of soil stockpiles will be undertaken to check for signs of erosion. Where erosion occurs, the sides of the stockpiles will be reformed.

3.153.16 Soil Restoration

3.15.13.16.1 Soil restoration measures are not considered to be relevant to the construction phase of the Proposed Development but are not ruled out, particularly if any pre-commencement ground investigations reveal

contaminated land which requires remediation. Soil restoration measures may also be required during the operational phase (e.g., following the removal of the Wind Farm (within Area D), if not adequately provided for in that development's decommissioning documentation). This will be a key topic for the Proposed Development's DMP suite following the framework provided by the FDMP which advises of an expectation for a Decommissioning Soil Management Plan ('DSMP') for that phase.

3.15.23.16.2 This section of the OSMP sets out general measures related to soil restoration which may be applicable for all phases of the Proposed Development. The main objective for the restoration of agricultural land is to reinstate the land to its original (pre-development) ALC grade (see ES Appendix 2.8). As maintaining the quality and quantity of soils is a key objective of the SMP, it is not only a matter for decommissioning; it is also relevant to the construction and operational phases of the Proposed Development. Where it is required, restoration will be achieved by restoring the soil profiles in the correct sequence of horizons, i.e., topsoil at the top. The topsoil will be levelled, cultivated, and reseeded as agreed with the landowner/occupier.

3.15.33.16.3 In areas where land compaction occurs at the Site it may be necessary to undertake subsoil restoration techniques to restore the structure of the subsoil and to assist with land drainage. To this end, appropriate techniques for subsoil compaction should be used as set out Sheet N 'Soil Decompaction by Excavator Bucket'¹² where exposed subsoil is to be decompacted, or Sheet O 'Soil Decompaction by Bulldozer Drawn Tines'¹³ where the subsoil is to be decompacted is in a restored, or intact soil profile (i.e., topsoil overlying subsoil), as given in the Institute of Quarrying's 'Good Practice Guide for Handling Soils in Mineral Workings'⁸.

¹² Institute of Quarrying (2021) Sheet N 'Soil Decompaction by Excavator Bucket' available online at <https://www.quarrying.org/hubfs/Soils%20Guidance/IQ%20Soil%20Guidance%20Sheet%20N.pdf> Last accessed October 2025

¹³ Institute of Quarrying (2021) Sheet O 'Soil Decompaction by Bulldozer Drawn Tines' Available online at <https://www.quarrying.org/hubfs/Soils%20Guidance/IQ%20Soil%20Guidance%20Sheet%20O.pdf> Last accessed October 2025

3.15.43.16.4 The PC will clear all temporary working areas and accesses as the work proceeds and when they are no longer required for the works. On completion of the construction activities, all temporary construction plant, materials, and works/structures will be removed.

4 Conclusion

4.1.1 The OSMP provides an outline of the SMP to govern soil resource management during the Proposed Development's construction phase. Through implementation of the SMP alongside the CEMP's broader environmental governance during the construction phase, the outcomes of soil management measures will support the success of the Site's landscape and ecological mitigation and enhancement strategy while also conserving the quality and quantity of Site soils (i.e., mineral soil and organic soil) as a natural capital resource. The best practice measures and outcomes supported by the SMP are expected to be taken forward across the operational and decommissioning phases via the LEMP and a DSMP within the DMP suite.

- 4.1.2 The SMP will follow best practice guidance set out in the OSMP and will provide further detail on:
- Roles and Responsibilities;
 - Site Conditions (reflecting updating ecological assessment and ground investigation outcomes);
 - General Soil Management Methods;
 - Works-specific Soil Management Methods;
 - Crossover Topics with CEMP (e.g., drainage, pollution prevention, ground preparation);
 - Soil Storage;
 - Soil Restoration; and
 - SMP Quality Management (Monitoring, Recording, Updating)

Figures

Figure ~~13~~: Topsoil Sample Locations (SMP1 to SMP15), July ~~2015~~2024
Figure ~~24~~: Soil Handling Units

Appendix A Soil Resilience

Appendix B Soil Guidance

Appendix C Certificates of Topsoil Analysis